County Community Risk Profile (2018): Okanogan

		Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank		Contextual Indicators	
School District	Population: 10-17	ATOD Risk Percentile	Indicators with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Oroville	438	92	21	Very High	Very High	High	High
Omak	1,106	85	21	Very High	Average	High	High
Methow Valley	369	76	21	Very High	Average	Low	Average
Tonasket	635	64	13	High	Average	High	Average
Okanogan	587	61	21	Average	High	High	Average
Brewster	656	40	21	Average	Low	Average	Low
Pateros	133	33	20	Average	Very Low	Average	Very Low

NOTES:

This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2018. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

The ATOD consumption risk score is calculated from prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators. The overall risk ranking is not computed if either consumption or consequence score is missing.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.


A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.


Review Considerations

- 1) To get an overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the "Risk Percentile". It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.
- 2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the "Indicators with data" column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used
- 3) To consider other contextual information, examine the "Population: Age 0-17", "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator. Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.


Marijuana Composite Ranking




Marijuana Consumption Ranking



Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Composite Ranking



Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Consumption Ranking



Consequence Risk Ranking

