County Community Risk Profile 2013: Klickitat County

Highest Risk Score		Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank*		Contextual Indicators	
District	Population: Age 0-17	Risk Percentile	Risk Indicator with Data	Consumption (Alcohol)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Lyle S.D.	536	94	18	Very High	Average	Average	High
White Salmon S.D.	1,867	87	26	High	High	Average	Average
Goldendale S.D.	1,497	82	18	High	High	Very High	Very High
Glenwood S.D.	90	55	8	No Data	Average	Low	Very Low

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Risk Percentile	Risk Indicator with Data	Consumption (Alcohol)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Wishram S.D.	85	37	8	No Data	Average	Average	Very High
Klickitat S.D.	108	27	8	No Data	Average	Average	Very High
Bickleton S.D.	76	20	8	No Data	Low	Average	Very Low
Trout Lake S.D.	216	16	8	No Data	Low	Average	Average

NOTE:

This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2013. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

Review Considerations

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the 'Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.
- 2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the 'Indicators with data' column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.
- 3) To consider other contextual information, examine the 'Population: Age 0-17', "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator. Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.

^{*} The alcohol consumption risk score was calculated from prevalence and frequency of underage drinking. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators.