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## Have used the data book before?

## A. Yes, I have used previous versions of the data book.

B. No, this is new to me.

## Learning Objectives

- Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.
- Describe the two different templates of the data book.
- Understand the types of data presented in the data book.
- Understand the new data elements in the data book.
- Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book.


## Learning Objectives

$\square$ Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.
$\square$ Describe the two different templates of the data book.
$\square$ Understand the types of data presented in the data book.
$\square$ Understand the new data elements in the data book.
$\square$ Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book.

## Purpose of the Data Book

- The data book provides data for your needs assessment.
- The data book is organized around the CPWI logic model.

[Name] Coalition Logic Model




## Consequence Data

CONSEQUENCES | Behaviors that are known to be associated with substance abuse
CORE Measures of Schoot Performonce (2012 Dercent)

- Wherever

- School Districts Like Us

4


- State


|  | Wherever |  | School Districts like Us |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORE Measures of School Performance | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Extended Graduation Rate. The rate per 100 of students in the freshman cohort who graduate including those students who stay in school and take more than four years to complete their degree. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Consumption Data

CONSUMPTION | Measures of the number of youth using/consuming alcohol and other substances HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)

- Wherever ${ }^{-1}$ School Districts Like Us - State



## Intervening Variables

INTERVENING VARIABLES | Characteristics that are strongly predictive of underage drinking/substance abuse
CORE Measures of Alcohol Availability (2013, Rate per 1,000)


|  | Wherever |  | School Districts Like Us |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORE Measures of Alcohol Availability | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Active Alcohol Retailers. The number of alcohol retail licenses active during the year, per 1,000 persons (all ages). Retail licenses include restaurants, grocery stores, and wine shops but do not include state liquor stores and agencies. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Data Sources

Washington State Healthy Youth Survey (HYS)

- School-based survey in 6th, 8th, 10th, and 12th grade
- Conducted every two years
- Small schools/school districts eligible to participate in "small school pilot", which surveys 7th, 9th, 11th graders in 2014
Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation (CORE) System
- Archival/administrative data
- Data from various agencies: DSHS, DOH, LCB, DOL, OFM


## Learning Objectives

$\square$ Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.

『l Describe the two different templates of the data book.
$\square$ Understand the types of data presented in the data book.
$\square$ Understand the new data elements in the data book.
$\square$ Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book.

## Data Book Templates: Regular



HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)


|  | Communits X |  |  | School District Like US |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use | GRade | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Current Drinking. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you: Drink a glass, can or | 8 | 21\% | 17\% | 15\% b | 13\% b | 12\% | 8\% |
| bottle of beer? (District results: Drink any days) | 10 | 29\% | 20\% | 28\% | 23\% | 23\% | 21\% |
| Problem/Heavy Drinking. (District results: 3-5 days drinking in the past 30 days and/or 1 binge | 8 | 19\% | 15\% | 12\% b | $11 \%{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8\% | 5\% |
| past 2 weeks, or $6+$ days drinking in the past 30 days and/or $2+$ binge past 2 weeks) | 10 | 27\% | 18\% | 21\% | 18\% | 17\% | 13\% |
| Current Tobacco Use. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you: Smoke | 8 | 10\% | 5\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| dip? (District results: Use either on any days) | 10 | 13\% | 10\% | 11\% | 10\% | 12\% | 10\% |

## Data Book Templates: Small Community

HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)

- I Community $X$
- School Districts Like Us
- State


* The bar chart includes 2014 HYS results for your school distict area, "ehool districts like us" and the state.

[^0]
## Why bother with two templates?



## Learning Objectives

$\square$ Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.
$\square$ Describe the two different templates of the data book.

V Understand the types of data presented in the data book.
$\square$ Understand the new data elements in the data book.
$\square$ Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book.

## Types of Data

## Most recent data

- Healthy Youth Survey - 2012 and 2014
- CORE data -2 years

Trend data
Demographic profile

## Most Recent Data: HYS



HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)


## New this year: SDLU

What is "school districts like us" (SDLU)?

- SDLU are communities that share similar demographic and socioeconomic characteristics as yours
Similar characteristics in
- Race/ethnicity
- Poverty level
- Population density
- Relationship between school district and community (\% levy approved)


## Legend

Cities (pop>50K)
$\square$ County
$\square$ School Districts

## SDLU Clusters

1: High \% minority, high poverty
2: Rural, median to high poverty
3: Rural, median to low poverty
School District Clusters
4: Average
5: Urban/Suburban, median to high polverty
6: Urban/Suburban, low poverty

## Interpret HYS Data Chart

HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)


## Interpret HYS Data Tables



## Interpret HYS Data Chart

HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)


## Why combining results for small communitiesil

Past 30-day alcohol use in Community $X$


# Interpret the HYS Data Tables 

| $8^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grades combined, compared with SDLU and State rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Community X |  |  | School Districts Like Us |  | State |  |
| HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use | Grade | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Current Marijuana Use. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you: Use marijuana or hashish? (District results: Use any days) | $8 \text { and } 10$ | 11\% | 10\% | 16\% | 16\% | 14\% | 13\% |
|  | 8,9,10,11,12 |  | 19\% |  |  |  |  |
| Current Other Illegal Drug Use. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you: not counting alcohol, tobacco, or marijuana, use another illegal drug? <br> (District results: Use anv davs) | 8 and 10 | 1\% | 3\% | $4 \% \quad \text { b }$ | 4\% | 4\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 3\% |
|  | 8,9,10,11,12 |  | 5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Current Prescription Drug Use. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you: Use a pain killer to get high, like Vicodin, OxyContin or Percocet? (District results: Use anv davs) | 8 and 10 | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% |
|  | $8,9,10,11,12$ |  | 4\% |  |  |  |  |
| *The bar chart includes 2014 HYS results for your school district area, "school distrintine us" and the state. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The 2014 rate is significantly different from the 2012 rate. <br> b The "school districts like us" rate is significantly different from your school district area rate. <br> ${ }^{\text {c }}$ The state rate is significantly different from your district area rat Kewer than 30 students answered this question. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | All grades $8^{\text {th }}$ to $12^{\text {th }}$ combined for 2014 |  |  |  |  |

## Interpret CORE Data Charts and Tables



## Trend Data: Large Communities

## Perceived Availability of Drugs



## Trend Data: Small Communities



## How to Interpret Trends: HYS Data

| HYS Measures of School Performance |
| :--- |
| Low Grades in School |
| - - State Grade 8 |

## How to Interpret Trends: CORE Data

## Youth Delinquency

Check the units of measurement.
Arrests (Age 10-17), Alcohol Violation (Rate per 1,000


## Demographic Profile

## Race/Ethnicity (count/percent)



Age Composition (count/percent)


## HYS Participation Rate

- Located on the inside cover of the data book
- Good participation if rate $>70 \%$
- Data not reported if participation rate $<40 \%$

|  | Grade 8 | Grade 10 |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Students Participating in the 2014 Survey | 661 | 418 |
| Survey Participation Rate | $81 \%$ | $60 \%$ |

## Other Sources of HYS Data: AskHYS.net



## School District Frequency Report

## Healthy Youth Survey 2014

Report of Results

Statewide Results
Grades 6, 8, 10 and 12

## Additional HYS Data

| Additional Marijuana Questions | Local Report Item \# |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lifetime Use | 18 |
| Ways of use, source, DFC questions | $54-60$ |
| Driving under the influence | $114-115$ |
| Perception of risk, norms, other risk factors | $166,168,173,198,218,219$ <br> 227,231 |
| Additional Prescription Drug Questions |  |
| Parental and peer norms | 63,64 |
| Perception of risk | 65 |
| Use prescription drug not prescribed to you | 37 |
| How to get access - http://www.askhys.net/Home/GetAccess |  |

## Frequently Asked Questions



## Which Topic Should We Cover Next?

- A. How to interpret confidence intervals
- B. More details about "School District Like Us"
- C. Why are data missing in my data book
- D. More details about risk and protective factors in the data book
- E. How are HYS results combined across grades


## How to Interpret Confidence Intervals

## Interpret Confidence Interval



## Why do you need confidence intervals?

- It's unlikely that $100 \%$ of your students participated in the survey
- The reported value is unlikely to be exactly the same as the "true" value for all your students
- The confidence intervals account for the random variation due to sampling
- The confidence intervals help you compare your results to others and over time


## How do we talk about the results with the confidence intervals?



- Between 25\% and 32\% of the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students in our community had low grades in school.


## OR

- About $29 \%$ of the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students who took the survey reported low grades in school.


## Non-significant Difference



## Significant Difference

$\square$ Local $\square$ State


## Significance Inconclusive

$\square$ State $\quad$ School


Percent of Students Who Smoked

## When are data not reported?

## Understand Missing Data

| . - Data are not available. |
| :---: | :---: |
| S -Fewer than 15 students in the grade took the Healthy Youth Survey OR <br> the response rate was lower than 40\%. In the section "Additional <br> Healthy Youth Survey Data" starting on page 24 suppressed data points <br> are shown as gaps in the trend lines and blank cells in the tables. |
| NR - Not reliable due to non-reporting of police jurisdictions data. |
| UN - Unreliable conversion of events to report geography. |
| SP - Suppressed by agreement with data provider when denominator is |
| below 100. |

## HYS Data Suppression Rules

- Fewer than 15 surveys returned in any grade
- Response rate is lower than $40 \%$
- In results combining multiple grades: missing data from any grade


## What is "School Districts Like Us"?

## Cluster Analysis

- Cluster analysis is the grouping of a set of objects in such a way that objects in the same group (called a cluster) are more similar (in some sense or another) to each other than to those in other groups (clusters).
- Characteristics are selected from factors associated with substance use outcomes.
- Characteristics must not be prevention work outcomes (e.g. school performance).
- This cluster analysis is not an evaluation of school districts.


## Analysis Variables

- Race/ethnicity: \% Hispanic, \% White, \% Asian students in K-12 school enrollment
- Poverty level: \% of students eligible for free/reduced lunch
- Urban/rural proxy: population density
- Relationship between school district and community: \% school levy approved


## Results



## Results: Groups of Communities

1. High \% of minorities, high poverty;
2. Rural, median to high poverty;
3. Rural, median to low poverty;
4. Average;
5. Urban/suburban, median to high poverty; and
6. Urban/Suburban, low poverty.

## Results: Cluster Means

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| K-12 enrollment | 2,410 | 455 | 723 | 2,928 | 15,085 | 7,354 |
| Population density | 151 | 13 | 12 | 139 | 2,576 | 692 |
| \% Student white | $21 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| \% Student Hispanic | $59 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| \% Student Native <br> American | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| \% Eligible for lunch <br> program | $79 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| \% Levy approved | $40 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| N of communities | 31 | 48 | 39 | 59 | 23 | 44 |

1. High \% of minorities, high poverty; 2. Rural, median to high poverty; 3. Rural, median to low poverty; 4. Average; 5. Urban/suburban, median to high poverty ; 6. Urban/Suburban, low poverty

## Risk and Protective Factors

## Risk and Protective Factors

- Risk factor - research-based psychosocial predictors of substance use
- Protective factor - characteristics that buffer individuals from the effects of risk factors
- Measured using scales (multiple questions) in HYS
- "At risk" - student at risk for substance use based on the factor
- "Protected" - student less likely to use substance based on the factor


## Intervening Variables

The Intervening Variables in our logic model are those characteristics of the community that are likely to influence youth alcohol use. The coalition will assess these variables, and identify those that seem to have the most powerful influence. Prevention efforts will be selected that change the factors in the community that contribute to those characteristics.

| Community |
| :--- |
| Connectedness |
|  |
|  |
|  |

Alcohol Availability<br>- Ease of Access and<br>- Retail or Social Access (Usual Source)<br>- Density of Licenses<br>\section*{Risk of Alcohol Use}<br>- Perception of Law Enforcement Risk<br>- Perception of Risk of Harm from Alcohol Use<br>Norms around Alcohol Use<br>- Attitudes Toward Youth Drinking<br>- Friends Use<br>- Perception of Adult Attitudes

## Perception of Risk Community

Norms

- Acceptability Among Peer and Community


## Risk and Protective Factors

- Parental Attitudes Tolerant of Substance Use
- Early Initiation Of Drugs
- Intentions To Use Drugs
- Friends Use of Drugs
- Social Skills


## Availability of Alcohol (Retail or Social Access)

During the past 30 days, where did you usually get alcohol (if student - used alcohol)?


## Norms



## All Risk and Protective Factors

## All Risk and Protective Factor Scales

## Community Risk Factors

- Perceived Availability of Drugs
- Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use


## Community Protective Factors

- Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement


## Family Risk Factors

- Poor Family Management
- Parental Attitudes Tolerant of Substance Use


## Family Protective Factors

- Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement
- Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

School Risk Factors

- Academic Failure
- Low Commitment to School


## School Protective Factors

- School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement
- School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

Peer-Individual Risk Factors

- Early Initiation of Drugs
- Favorable Attitudes toward Drug Use
- Perceived Risks of Use
- Friends' Use of Drugs

Peer-Individual Protective Factors

- Social Skills
- Belief in the Moral Order
- Interactions with Pro-social Peers


## Risk and Protective Factor Scales

GRADE 10


## Risk and Protective Factor Scales

Parental Attitudes Tolerant of Substance Use


## Combining HYS Results Across Grades

## Methods

Results are weighted to adjust for non-response.

The influence of individual grade results on the combined results reflect the size of enrollment in each grade.

## Examples of Weighted Results

## Example 1

|  | $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $\mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $8^{\text {th }} \& \mathbf{1 0}^{\text {th }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rate of Mar. Use | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |
| Enrollment | 100 | $\mathbf{5 0}$ |  |
| \# of surveys returned | 50 | 50 |  |

Example 2

|  | $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $10^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $8^{\text {th }}$ \& $1^{\text {th }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rate of Mar. Use | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Enrollment | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 100 |  |
| \# of surveys returned | 50 | 50 |  |

## Additional Resources

## Healthy Youth Survey:

www.AskHYS.net

CORE reports:
https://www.dshs.wa.gov/sesa/research-and-data- analysis/community-risk-profiles

## Contacts

- Data book questions:
- Grace Hong, Ph.D., M.P.P., DBHR/DSE grace.hong@dshs.wa.gov
- School Districts Like US
- James Hu, Ph.D., DBHR/DSE hujs@dshs.wa.gov


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The 2014 rate is significantly different from the 2012 rate.
    ${ }^{c}$ The state rate is significantly different from your district area rate
    b The "school districts like us" rate is significantly different from your school district area rate.
    ${ }^{d}$ Fewer than 30 students answered this question.

