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## What's Happening in Cascadia?

A Community Needs Assessment Data Book


## Have you used the data book before?

## A. Yes, I have used previous versions of the data book.

B. No, this is new to me.

## Learning Objectives

1. Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the Community Prevention Wellness Initiative (CPW)I logic model.
2. Describe the two different templates of the data book.
3. Understand the source and types of data presented in the data book.
4. Understand SDLU and the new data element in 2016
5. Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book
6. Explore additional data resources

Topical questions answered

## Learning Objectives

$\pm$ Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.
$\square$ Describe the two different templates of the data book.
$\square$ Understand the source and types of data presented in the data book.
$\square$ Understand the new data element in 2016
$\square$ Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book
$\square$ Explore additional data resources

## Purpose of the Data Book

- The data book
- provides data for your needs assessment;
- is designed to support your communities data-based decisions for prevention work; and
- is organized around the CPWI logic model and planning framework.

DBHR Community Prevention and Wellness Initiative Planning Framework

## Data Book Contents

1. Measures Available for the Community Needs Assessment
2. How to Read the Charts and Tables

3. Definitions

# [Name] Coalition Logic Model 




## Consequence Data

CONSEQUENCES | Behaviors that are known to be associated with substance abuse
CORE Measures of Schoot Performence (2012, Dercent)

- Wherever

- School Districts Like Us

4


- State


|  | Wherever |  | School Districts like Us |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORE Measures of School Performance | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Extended Graduation Rate. The rate per 100 of students in the freshman cohort who graduate including those students who stay in school and take more than four years to complete their degree. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## Consumption Data

Community Prevention \& Wellness Initiative


CONSUMPTION | Measures of the number of youth using/consuming alcohol and other substances HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)


## Intervening Variables

INTERVENING VARIABLES | Characteristics that are strongly predictive of underage drinking/substance abuse
CORE Measures of Alcohol Availability (2013, Rate per 1,000)


|  | Wherever |  | School Districts Like Us |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CORE Measures of Alcohol Availability | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Active Alcohol Retailers. The number of alcohol retail licenses active during the year, per 1,000 persons (all ages). Retail licenses include restaurants, grocery stores, and wine shops but |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Learning Objectives

$\square$ Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.
$\square$ Describe the two different templates of the data book.
$\square$ Understand the source and types of data presented in the data book.
$\square$ Understand the new data element in 2016
$\square$ Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book

Explore additional data resources

## Data Book Templates: Regular

HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)


|  | Community X |  |  | School District Like US |  | State |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Drinking During the past 30 days, on | GRade | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 | 2012 | 2014 |
| Current Drinking. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you: Drink a glass, can or | 8 | 21\% | 17\% | 15\% b | 13\% b | 12\% c | 8\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| bottle of beer? (District results: Drink any days) | 10 | 29\% | 20\% | 28\% | 23\% | 23\% | 21\% |
| Problem/Heavy Drinking. (District results: 3-5 days drinking in the past 30 days and/or 1 binge | 8 | 19\% | 15\% | 12\% b | 11\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8\% c | 5\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| past 2 weeks, or $6+$ days drinking in the past 30 days and/or $2+$ binge past 2 weeks) | 10 | 27\% | $18 \%{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 21\% | 18\% | 17\% | 13\% ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Current Tobacco Use. During the past 30 days, on how many days did you: Smoke | 8 | 10\% | 5\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| cigarettes, or: Use chewing tobacco, snuff, or dip? (District results: Use either on any days) | 10 | 13\% | 10\% | 11\% | 10\% | 12\% | 10\% |

## Data Book Templates: Small Community

HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)

*The bar chart includes 2014 HYS results for your school districi area. "sehool districts like us" and the state.
${ }^{a}$ The 2014 rate is significantly different from the 2012 rate.
b The "school districts like us" rate is significantly different from your school district area rate.
${ }^{c}$ The state rate is significantly different from your district area rat
${ }^{d}$ Fewer than 30 students answered this question.

## Why bother with two templates?



## Small School Districts

- 186 Small School Districts in Washington State
- less than 600 students enrolled in Grades 6, 8, 10 and 12
- Data limitations - suppression rules
- Fewer than 15 students taking the survey
- Confidence intervals.
- Small samples contribute to large confidence intervals.
- Stability
- Data in small communities are not as stable as in larger communities
- More likely that "chance" can affect survey results
- The absence from school of only a couple of students could change results


## Small School District Participation Program

- 28 CPWI Coalitions represent Small School Districts
- Goal: increase the number of respondents
- smaller confidence intervals,
- more stable results


## Why combine results for small communities

Past 30-day alcohol use in Community $X$


## Learning Objectives

$\square$ Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.
$\square$ Describe the two different templates of the data book.
$\square$ Understand the source and types of data presented in the data book.
$\square$ Understand the new data element in 2016
$\square$ Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book
$\square$ Explore additional data resources

## Data Sources - CORE

Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation (CORE) System

- 47 indicators highly correlated with substance use and risk factors
- Archival/administrative data
- Drug law violations
- Hospital admission data, death certificate information
- Primary data sources
- DSHS, DOH, OSPI, UCR


## Data Sources - HYS

Washington State Healthy Youth Survey (HYS)

- School-based survey

6 th, 8 th, 10th, and 12th grade participation

- Small schools/school districts program 7th, 9th, 11th grade participation
- Conducted every two years


## Types of Data

Most recent data

- Healthy Youth Survey - 2014 and 2016
- CORE data - 2 years


## Trend data

- HYS: 2008-2016
- CORE: 2004-2016

School Districts Like Us comparisons
Demographic profile Map

## Most Recent Data: 2014, 2016 HYS Regular Data Book



## SDLU - School Districts Like Us

What is "school districts like us" (SDLU)?

- SDLU are communities that share similar demographic and socioeconomic characteristics as your community


## SDLU - School Districts Like Us

## SDLU have similar characteristics in

- Race/ethnicity
- Poverty level
- Population density
- Relationship between school district and community (\% levy approved)



## Learning Objectives

$\square$ Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.
$\square$ Describe the two different templates of the data book.
$\square$ Understand the source and types of data presented in the data book.

ฟ Understand the new data element in 2016
$\square$ Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book
$\square$ Explore additional data resources

## Intention to Use Risk Factor - Dropped

## HYS Measures of Risk and Protective Factors Most Strongly Associated with Alcohol and Marijuana Use

The following four risk factors and one protective factor were found to be most strongly associated factors with alcohol and marijuana use at the state level:

- Parental Attitudes Tolerant of Substance Use
- Friends Use of Drugs
- Early Initiation of Drugs
- Intentions to Use Drugs
- Social Skills

Data on all of the risk and protective factors are available at the end of the data book.

HYS Risk Factors (2014, Percent at Risk)
Grade 8
Grade 10

- School Districts Like Us
- Cascadia



25\% 25\% 23\%


Friends' Use of Drugs

## Peer Individual Risk Factor - Added

## Favorable Attitudes Towards Drug Use

Q. How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to:

1. Drink beer, wine, or hard liquor (for example: vodka, whiskey, or gin) regularly?
2. Smoke cigarettes?
3. Smoke marijuana?
4. Use LSD, cocaine, amphetamines, or another illegal drug?

## Learning Objectives

$\square$ Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.
$\square$ Describe the two different templates of the data book.
$\square$ Understand the source and types of data presented in the data book.
$\square$ Understand the new data element in 2016
邓 Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book
$\square$ Explore additional data resources

## HYS Participation Rate - Regular Data Book Example



- 70\% + probably representative of students
- 40-69\% Results may be representative
- $40 \%$ or less probably not representative of students - data are not reported (SUPPRESSED)


# HYS Participation Rate - Small District Data Book Example 

|  | Grades 8 and 10 | Grades $8-12$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Students Participating in the 2016 Survey | 23 | 29 |
| Survey Participation Rate | $77 \%$ | S |

Grades 8 and 10

- $77 \%$ participation: probably representative of students
- 23 students participated: small sample, less stable results

Grades 8 - 12

- Data suppressed, possible reasons:
- 40\% of enrolled students or less participated in survey
- missing data from any grade for small school district participants


## Statistical Significance

- Refers to the probability that the results of a particular question represent the true pattern and not by chance alone.
- Using 95\% confidence intervals:
- a difference between two groups is considered statistically significant if chance could explain it only $5 \%$ of the time or less.


## Table Notes - statistical comparisons

a. COMPARE YEARS: The 2016 rate is significantly different from the 2014 rate.
b. COMPARE SDLU: The "school districts like us" rate is significantly different from your school district area rate.
c. COMPARE STATE vs. DISTRICT: The state rate is significantly different from your district area rate.
d. Fewer than 30 students answered this question.

## How to Interpret Confidence Intervals

## Interpret Confidence Interval

HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)
 do you need confidence intervals?

- The confidence interval $\pm$ represents the variability of the estimate
- It's unlikely that $100 \%$ of your students participated in the survey
- The reported value is unlikely to be exactly the same as the "true" value for all your students
- The confidence intervals account for the random variation due to sampling
- The confidence intervals help you compare your results to others and over time


## What affects the size of a confidence interval?

- The size of a confidence interval is affected by:
- Sample Size

In general, the larger the sample (Example - students surveyed) the smaller the confidence interval.

- Inherent variability.

If most students select the same response to a survey question, there is less variability. The more variable the answers, the wider the Cls.
2. How do we talk about the results with the confidence intervals?

- Between $25 \%$ and $32 \%$ of
 the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students in our community had low grades in school.


## OR

- About $29 \%$ of the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade students who took the survey reported low grades in school.


## Non-significant Difference



## Significant Difference

$\square$ Local $\square$ State


## Significance Inconclusive

$\square$ State $\quad$ School


## Interpret HYS Data Chart

HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)


## Interpret HYS Data Tables



## Interpret HYS Data Chart - Small Districts

HYS Measures of Youth Substance Use (2014, Percent)


## Why combine results for small communities

Past 30-day alcohol use in Community $X$


# Interpret the HYS Data Tables 



## Interpret CORE Data Charts and Tables



## Trend Data: Large Communities



Perceived Availability of Drugs


## Trend Data: Small Communities



## How to Interpret Trends: HYS Data

| HYS Measures of School Performance |
| :--- |
| Low Grades in School |
| - - State Grade 8 |

## How to Interpret Trends: CORE Data



## How to Interpret Trends: CORE Data

## Youth Delinquency

Arrests (Age 10-17), Alcohol Violation (Rate per 1,000)


## Demographic Profile - Race/Ethnicity

## Race/Ethnicity (count/percent) Age Composition (count/percent)

## Community Demographics

The racial/ethnic and age composition below can help prevention planners better understand the community"s diversity. Race and Ethnicity (Count, Percent)
Persons whose race or ethnicity is: (1) "American Native" - American Indian or Alaska Native, one race only; (2) "Asian" Asian, one race only; (3) "Black" - African American, one race only; (4) "Hawaiian/PI" - Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander, one race only; (5) "White" - White, one race only; (6) "Multi-Racial" - Two or more races; (7) "Hispanic" Persons whose ethnicity is Hispanic or Latino, of any race; (8) "Any Minority" - Persons of any race or ethnicity except for non-Hispanic White, one race only; calculated as a percentage of all persons. The race categories 1 through 6 may include persons of Hispanic or Latino origin.

White Center_N Highline

| RACE/ETHNICITY | NUMBER | $\%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| White Non-Hispanic | 12,678 | 4196 |
| Any Minority | 18,580 | 599 |
|  | RACE |  |
| American Native | 625 | 296 |
| Asian | 6,331 | 2096 |
| Black | 3,126 | 1096 |
| Hawailan/Pl | 678 | 296 |
| White | 18,653 | 6096 |
| Multi-Racial | 1,845 | 696 |
|  |  | 7,069 |
| Hispanic | 21,258 | 1009 |



Racial and Ethnic Distribution


EThinicity

23\%

NOTE: Percentages of Any Minority and White Non-Hispanic will sum to $100 \%$. Percentages in Race will sum to $100 \%$.

## Demographic Profile - Age Composition (count/percent)

Age Composition (Count, Percent)
Children (ages 0-9, 10-14, 15-17 years), adults (ages 18-24, 25-49, 50-64 years) and seniors (ages 65+) as a percentage of all persons.


SOURCE: Washington State Office of Financial Management, Forecasting Division (2015). 2014 Estimates of Age, Sex, Race and Hispanic Origin.

## When are data not reported?

## Understand Missing Data

| . - | Data are not available. |
| :---: | :--- |
| S - | Fewer than 15 students in the grade took the Healthy Youth Survey <br> OR the response rate was lower than $40 \%$. In the section "Additional <br> Healthy Youth Survey Data" starting on page 24 suppressed data points <br> are shown as gaps in the trend lines and blank cells in the tables. |
| NR - | Not reliable due to non-reporting of police jurisdictions data. |
| UN - | Unreliable conversion of events to report geography. |
| SP - | Suppressed by agreement with data provider when denominator is below <br> 100. |
| SN - | Small Number Sample. Geography has less than 30 events in the <br> denominator. |

## HYS Data Suppression Rules

- Fewer than 15 surveys returned in any grade
- Response rate is lower than $40 \%$
- SMALL SCHOOLS/SMALL DISTRICTS

In results combining multiple grades: missing data from any grade

## Learning Objectives

$\square$ Describe the contents of this data book and articulate how the data relate to the CPWI logic model.
$\square$ Describe the two different templates of the data book.
$\square$ Understand the source and types of data presented in the data book.
$\square$ Understand the new data element in 2016
$\square$ Apply data analysis skills to interpret tables and charts included in the data book

Ø Explore additional data resources

## Other Sources of HYS Data: AskHYS.net




Training Videos

## Welcome to AskHYS.net!

The Healthy Youth Survey (HYS) is a collaborative effort of the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction, the Department of Health, the Department of Social and Health Service's Division of Behavioral Health and Recovery, the Liquor and Cannabis Board, and the Department of Commerce.

The Healthy Youth Survey provides important survey results about the health of adolescents in Washington. County prevention coordinators, community mobilization coalitions, community public health and safety networks, and others use this information to guide policy and programs that serve

## AskHYS includes Survey Results

- Fact Sheets: Pre-formatted fact sheets on important HYS topics at the state and local level.
- Reports: Annual frequency reports at the state and local level, and statewide analytic reports with survey details and trend results.
- $\mathrm{Q} \times \mathrm{Q}$ Analysis: An interactive data query system to analyze state and local results for a single HYS question or to analyze two questions together - that is crossing one


## School District Frequency Report

## Healthy Youth Survey 2016

Report of Results

Statewide Results
Grades 6, 8, 10 and 12

## Additional HYS Data - in Frequency Reports

| Additional Marijuana Questions | Local Report Item \# |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lifetime Use | 18 |
| Ways of use, source, DFC questions | $63-607$ |
| Driving under the influence | $117-118$ |
| Perception of risk, norms, other risk factors | $171,173,198,218,219, ~ 227, ~$ |
| Additional Prescription Drug Questions |  |
| Parental and peer norms | 72,73 |
| Perception of risk | 74 |
| Use prescription drug not prescribed to you | 36 |
| How to get access - http://www.askhys.net/Home/GetAccess |  |

## Access District and Building HYS Results

## How to get access - http://www.askhys.net/Home/GetAccess

## Home <br> Survey Results <br> Press Releases <br> Training <br> Contact <br> About <br> Log On

## Getting Accessing to District and Building Results on AskHYS.net

Healthy Youth Survey results at the state, county and Educational Service District (ESD) levels are available to the public on AskHYS.net.

To access district and/or school level results, you must be granted access by the school district's administration.

School and District Employees
Steps to follow:

1. Check to see if you can log on to the AskHYS. net website using your EDS login (your email) and password.
a. If you do not have an EDS account, please create one here: https://eds.ospi.k12.wa.us/Login.aspx
b. If vou do not remember vour dassword. Dlease contact Krissv Johnson (OSPI) at krissv.iohnson@k12.wa.us or

## HYS TRAINING -- REGIONAL WORKSHOPS

1. April 4: Renton PSESD, 8:30am to 12:00noon
2. April 7: Olympia ESD 113, 8:30am to 12:00noon
3. April 10: Vancouver ESD 112, 1:00 to $4: 30 \mathrm{pm}$
4. April 17: Spokane ESD 101, 1:00 to 4:30pm
5. April 21: Wenatchee NCESD 171, 8:30am to 12:00noon
6. April 24: Anacortes NWESD 189, 9:00am to 12:30pm
7. May 3: Pasco ESD 123, 1:00 to 4:30pm
8. May 4: Yakima ESD 105, 9:00am to 12:30

To register, see flyer or go to:
https://www.askhys.net/Training

## MOODI http://moodi.Igan.com/map/

Have you visited the Mapping Opioid \& Other Drug Issues Website before?
A. Yes, I have used this website.
B. No, this is new to me.

## (MOODI) Mapping Opioid \& Other Drug Issues

## Department of Health Sponsored Website

## http://moodi.Igan.com/



## (MOODI) Mapping Opioid \& Other Drug Issues

Welcome to MOODI. The purpose of this site is to help stakeholders identify communities and areas in Washington State at greatest risk for opioid use disorders and overdose. MOODI integrates data from a variety of sources and displays maps to help you select appropriate interventions and intervention locations for prevention and treatment efforts. MOODI is a product of the Washington State Department of Health

## How Does MOODI Work?

Mood al lows you to select and compare a variety or Indicators
 address these lusures. You can view a single map ar compare twa or tour maps an the same semen. You can bias select a summary map that andyzes Information seances e variety of lndicetars in MOODI?

Surienty Grallabie datasets limelude Finescriptian Monitoring Program data, Department of Heart hospitallaetiars and death record alta, oppiald treatment program and bupnenarphine pres crier data, and information about available naboonane [oweroloce reversal drupe and safe prescription disposal sites.

## Accessing MOODI Maps

- Go to
http://moodi.Igan.com/map/
- Click on Maps




## MAP: Safe Prescription Drug Disposal Sites Fall 2015



## Contacts

- Data book questions:
- DBHR Training team
- PRItraining@dshs.wa.gov
- Martha Perla,Ph.D., DBHR/DSE martha.perla@dshs.wa.gov
- School Districts Like US
- James Hu, Ph.D., DBHR/DSE hujs@dshs.wa.gov


## Frequently Asked Questions



## Which Topic Should We Cover Next?

1. More details about "School District Like Us"
2. More details about risk and protective factors in the data book
3. How are HYS results combined across grades

## What is "School Districts Like Us"?

## Cluster Analysis

- Cluster analysis is the grouping of a set of objects in such a way that objects in the same group (called a cluster) are more similar (in some sense or another) to each other than to those in other groups (clusters).
- Characteristics are selected from factors associated with substance use outcomes.
- Characteristics must not be prevention work outcomes (e.g. school performance).
- This cluster analysis is not an evaluation of school districts.


## SDLU Analysis Variables

- Race/ethnicity: \% students by race/ethnicity in K-12 school enrollment
- Poverty level: \% of students eligible for free/reduced lunch
- Urban/rural proxy: population density
- Relationship between school district and community: \% school levy approved


## Results



## Results: SDLU Groups of Communities

1. High \% of minorities, high poverty;
2. Rural, median to high poverty;
3. Rural, median to low poverty;
4. Average;
5. Urban/suburban, median to high poverty; and
6. Urban/Suburban, low poverty.

## Results: SDLU Cluster Means

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| K-12 enrollment | 2,410 | 455 | 723 | 2,928 | 15,085 | 7,354 |
| Population density | 151 | 13 | 12 | 139 | 2,576 | 692 |
| \% Student white | $21 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| \% Student Hispanic | $59 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| \% Student Native <br> American | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| \% Eligible for lunch <br> program | $79 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| \% Levy approved | $40 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $85 \%$ | $92 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| N of communities | 31 | 48 | 39 | 59 | 23 | 44 |

1. High \% of minorities, high poverty; 2. Rural, median to high poverty; 3. Rural, median to low poverty; 4. Average; 5. Urban/suburban, median to high poverty ; 6. Urban/Suburban, low poverty

## What are Risk and Protective Factors?

## Risk and Protective Factors

- A "factor" is a "scale" measured with two or more questions measuring multiple dimensions of the risk or protection
- Example: School Risk Factor: Academic Failure
- Putting them all together, what were your grades like last year?
- Are your school grades better than the grades of most students in your class?


## Risk and Protective Factors

- Risk factor - research-based psychosocial predictors of substance use
- Protective factor - characteristics that buffer individuals from the effects of risk factors
- Measured using scales (multiple questions) in HYS
- "At risk" - student at risk for substance use based on the factor
- "Protected" - student less likely to use substance based on the factor


## Intervening Variables

The Intervening Variables in our logic model are those characteristics of the community that are likely to influence youth alcohol use. The coalition will assess these variables, and identify those that seem to have the most powerful influence. Prevention efforts will be selected that change the factors in the community that contribute to those characteristics.
Community
Connectedness

## Alcohol Availability <br> - Ease of Access and <br> - Retail or Social Access (Usual Source) <br> - Density of Licenses <br> Risk of Alcohol Use <br> - Perception of Law Enforcement Risk <br> - Perception of Risk of Harm from Alcohol Use <br> Norms around Alcohol Use <br> - Attitudes Toward Youth Drinking <br> - Friends Use <br> - Perception of Adult Attitudes

## Perception of Risk Community

Norms

- Acceptability Among Peer and Community


## Risk and Protective Factors

- Parental Attitudes Tolerant of Substance Use
- Early Initiation Of Drugs
- Intentions To Use Drugs
- Friends Use of Drugs
- Social Skills


## Availability of Alcohol (Retail or Social Access)

During the past 30 days, where did you usually get alcohol (if student - used alcohol)?


## Norms



## All Risk and Protective Factors

## All Risk and Protective Factor Scales

## Community Risk Factors

- Perceived Availability of Drugs
- Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use


## Community Protective Factors

- Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement

Family Risk Factors

- Poor Family Management
- Parental Attitudes Tolerant of Substance Use


## Family Protective Factors

- Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement
- Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

School Risk Factors

- Academic Failure
- Low Commitment to School


## School Protective Factors

- School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement
- School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement

Peer-Individual Risk Factors

- Early Initiation of Drugs
- Favorable Attitudes toward Drug Use
- Perceived Risks of Use
- Friends' Use of Drugs

Peer-Individual Protective Factors

- Social Skills
- Belief in the Moral Order
- Interactions with Pro-social Peers


## Risk and Protective Factor Scales

GRADE 10


## Risk and Protective Factor Scales

## Parental Attitudes Tolerant of Substance Use



## Combining HYS Results Across Grades

## Methods

Results are weighted to adjust for non-response.

$$
\text { Weight }_{\text {grade }_{x}}=\frac{\text { Enrollment }_{\text {arade } x}}{\# \text { of Surveys Returned }}{ }_{\text {grade } x}
$$

The influence of individual grade results on the combined results reflect the size of enrollment in each grade.

## Examples of Weighted Results

## Example 1

|  | $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $10^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $8^{\text {th }} \&{10^{\text {th }}}^{\text {Rate of Mar. Use }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ |  |
| Enrollment | 100 | 50 |  |
| \# of surveys returned | 50 | 50 |  |

Example 2

|  | $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $10^{\text {th }}$ Grade | $8^{\text {th }}$ \& $1^{\text {th }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rate of Mar. Use | $10 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Enrollment | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 100 |  |
| \# of surveys returned | 50 | 50 |  |

## Additional Resources

## Healthy Youth Survey:

www.AskHYS.net

CORE reports:
https://www.dshs.wa.gov/sesa/research-and-data- analysis/community-risk-profiles

Mapping Opioid \& Other Drug Issues (MOODI) http://moodi.Igan.com/

