County Community Risk Profile (2015): Grays Harbor

		Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank		Contextual Indicators	
School District	Population: Age 10-17	Risk Percentile	Risk Indicator with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Hoquiam	1064	91	21	High	Very High	Very High	High
Aberdeen	2,011	88	21	High	Very High	Very High	Very High
Elma	955	87	21	High	Very High	High	High
Ocosta	426	58	21	Average	Average	High	High
Montesano	770	24	21	Average	Low	Average	Average
North Beach	519		4	No Data	No Data	Average	High
Taholah	138		1	No Data	No Data	Average	Very Low
Quinault	137		1	No Data	No Data	Average	Average
Wishkah Valley	79		1	No Data	No Data	Low	No Data
Oakville	218		3	No Data	No Data	High	Average
			•				
			•				

County Community Risk Profile (2015): Grays Harbor

NOTE:

This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2015. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

The ATOD consumption risk score is calculated from prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators. The overall risk ranking is not computed if either consumption or sonsequence score is missing.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

Review Considerations

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the 'Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.
- 2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the 'Indicators with data' column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.
- 3) To consider other contextual information, examine the 'Population: Age 0-17', "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator. Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.