Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan adapted from Communities that Care Materials

Table of Contents

| PARTICIPANT AGENDA | 2 |
|--|----|
| FILLING THE GAPS | 4 |
| PROGRAM/STRATEGY WALK | 5 |
| SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS | 6 |
| EXAMPLE SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS | 7 |
| EXAMPLE PROGRAM STRATEGY SUMMARY GRID | 8 |
| CONSIDERING PROGRAMS AND STRATEGIES | 10 |
| PROGRAM RESEARCH WORKSHEET | 12 |
| DECISION-MAKING MODELS PROS & CONS | 14 |
| EXAMPLE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS WITH IDEAS FOR EACH STEP | 15 |
| SELF-REFLECTION GUIDE | 16 |

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

PARTICIPANT AGENDA

Wednesday, August 21, 2024 Olympia 8:30am – 4:30pm

Objectives: Participants will be able to:

- 1. Explain the WHYs of planning and program selection:
 - a. State the reason for basing program/strategy selection on the community's data priorities (risk & protective factors and outcomes)
 - b. Explain the value of ensuring that programs/strategies a) fill gaps in resources, and are both b) evidence-based AND c) feasible/appropriate for the community

2. Do the HOWs:

- a. Explain where to go to find evidence-based programs, policies and strategies that address the community's data priorities and resource gaps
- b. Create a list of evidence-based programs, policies and strategies that could address their community's data priorities and resource gaps
- c. Work with coalition members to develop a list of questions about these options to pursue in the community in order to determine which of the programs on the list are feasible and appropriate to actually implement in the community

3. ENGAGE the coalition:

a. Craft options for enhancing the culture of participation in the coalition by actively involving members in each step of this planning process

| Time | Topic |
|-------|--|
| 8:30 | Welcome! |
| 8:45 | What is the Strategic Prevention Plan and how are we gonna get there? Lecture and small group work |
| | Filling gaps with services that will work to impact the community's data priorities Lecture and paired activity |
| | The Lists: Using them to find services to fill the gaps Lecture, small group activity, full group discussion |
| 10:30 | Break (10 minutes) |
| 10:40 | Other forms of evidence and moving the dial toward EBPPP Lecture, small group activity, individual reflection time |
| | How to discern which service option(s) will best 'fit' our community? Lecture, individual reflection time, small group activities |

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

| Time | Topic |
|-------|--|
| 11:45 | Message from DBHR Director |
| 12:00 | LUNCH (1 hour) |
| 1:00 | Questions, solutions and next steps for determining 'fit' |
| | Engaging coalition members: Materials to adequately inform their decisions Lecture, paired activity, individual reflection time |
| | Engaging coalition members: In the room activities Lecture, individual activity |
| 2:35 | Break (10 minutes) |
| 2:45 | Inclusive decision making Lecture, individual reflection, small group activity, full group share out |
| 3:45 | Reflect on the day |
| 3:55 | Final check-in/aha's Evaluation link |

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

FILLING THE GAPS

| | 3. 4. 6. 7. De | CROSS . Key leader held kegger for graduating seniors and took away their car keys . No parent programs for middle school aged children . Low perceived risk of harm . Chamber of commerce enthusiastic about prevention OWN . YMCA afterschool program is on the list! |
|--------------------------------|---|---|
| | | . Feel disconnected from school . Substance misuse |
| 4 5 | | |
| | 7 | 6 |
| PRIORITYPROTECT OUTCOME, LC | D BANK FION, PRIORITYRISK, CALSTRENGTH, SFAVORABLE, FEASIBLE | Puzzlemaker is a puzzle generation tool for teachers, students and parents. Create and print customized word search, criss-cross, math puzzles, and more-using your own word lists. www.puzzlemaker.discoveryeducation.com/criss-cross |

The Center for Communities That Care

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

PROGRAM/STRATEGY WALK

With your partner, walk around the poster gallery, taking time to read about each program/strategy being considered. Answer the questions below as you go.

| 1. | What does <sds> stand for?</sds> |
|----|---|
| 2. | Which is more expensive, Good Behavior Game or Big Brothers/Big Sisters? |
| 3. | Which programs/strategies focus on high school aged youth? |
| 4. | Which programs/strategies are based in community settings>? |
| 5. | Of the recommended programs/strategies, how many are universal? |
| 6. | Which programs/strategies are proven to impact the outcome of substance use? |
| 7. | Which program/strategy involves training 'secret shoppers'? |
| 8. | How many programs/strategies address the protective factor of community opportunities for prosocial involvement? |
| 9. | Which programs/strategies address the risk factor of low commitment to school? |

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

| 1. | The tested, effective programs, policies & practices currently responding to our community priorities are: |
|----|--|
| 2. | They address the following priority risk & protective factors: |
| 3. | We need to implement new tested, effective programs, policies & practices for the following risk & protective factors: |
| 4. | We need to modify the following programs, policies & practices for the following risk & protective factors: |
| 5. | We need to expand the following programs, policies & practices for the following risk & protective factors: |
| 6. | Resources that currently overlap or duplicate services include: |

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

EXAMPLE SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. The tested, effective programs, policies & practices currently responding to our community priorities are:
 - Strengthening Families 10-14
 - Life Skills Training
- 2. They address the following priority risk & protective factors:
 - Perceived Access to Substances family domain and peer/individual domain
 - Low Commitment to School middle school age group
- 3. We need to implement **new** tested, effective programs, policies & practices for the following risk & protective factors:

(see short list of recommended programs/strategies to fill these gaps in the Program and Strategy Summary Grid).

Low commitment to school – elementary aged children; high school aged children

- Good Behavior Game elementary
- Other?

Community laws and norms favorable to substances – universally with environmental strategies

- Reward and Reminder environmental
- Other?

Perceived access to substances – universally with environmental strategies

- Reward and Reminder environmental
- Other?

Community opportunities for pro-social involvement*

- Help organize community-wide afterschool offerings & train providers in SDS
- 4. We need to **modify** the following programs, policies & practices for the following risk & protective factors: N/A
- 5. We need to **expand** the following programs, policies & practices for the following risk & protective factors:
 - Strengthening Families 10-14: only serving parents in one of our middle schools; need to increase participation and include other middle school population
 - Life Skills Training: only serving students in one of our middle schools; need to include other middle school in our community
- 6. Resources that currently **overlap or duplicate** services include:

Many groups are providing after school activities for our young people.

We could help these groups work together, build protection, and be more effective by:

- providing training for all after school providers in the Social Development Strategy and skills-based reinforcement.
- sponsoring a single registration process across each age group, offering transportation for students as needed.



Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

EXAMPLE PROGRAM STRATEGY SUMMARY GRID

| | Priority Risk/Protective Factor | | Priority Protective Factor | r Priority Health Outcomes | | Type of Prevention Approach | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Program/ Strategy | Community laws/norms | Perceived access to substances | Low commitment to school | Community | Substance Use | Mental Health | Setting (e.g. School; Community) | Population Focus (e.g. Middle School) (Estimated # to be Served) | Population Reach (e.g. Universal, Selective, Indicated) | Strategy Prevention Approach (e.g. Policy) |
| Good Behavior Game | | | × | | x | x | School | Elementary (ages 5-11) (567 in 3 schools) | Universal – all elementary schools | |
| Big Brothers/Big Sisters | | | х | | | | Community | High school aged youth | Selective | |
| {Recommend ed Program #3} | | | | | | | | | | |
| Reward and Reminder | x | x | | | x | | | | Universal – entire community | Environmen tal |
| SDS Training for After School Program Providers & Collaborative Registration & Transportatio {Recommend | | | | X | | | Community | Need to determine focus. Perhaps start with middle school then add age groups as | Universal | Can involve policy changes (e.g. school afterschool transportati on) |
| ed Strategy #3) | | | | | | | | | | |

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

| | | Current Implementation in Community | | Financial Co | nsiderations |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Program/ Strategy | Description | Strengths (Resources) | Needs (Gaps) | Costs | Current Funding |
| Good Behavior Game | Classroom-based behavior management strategy (game format) that can be integrated into regular curriculum. | 1 school is already implementing Integrating into regular curriculum may be easier for teachers to implement | Quality will depend on teacher buy-in; fidelity will be crucial. Current school implementation varies by teacher | \$176/student (Blueprints estimate) | School district interested; will provide teacher time. |
| Big Brothers/Big Sisters | A community mentoring program which matches a volunteer adult mentor to a child or adolescent | BB/BS already operates in the community and is enthusiastic about expanding into this age group | Lack of volunteers willing to mentor older youth Would only reach a handful of youth | \$1,765/youth (Blueprints estimate) | Need to research options |
| {Recommend ed Program #3} | | | | | |
| Reward and Reminder | By using rapid and public rewards and recognition for clerks and retailers/outlets that do not sell alcohol or tobacco to minors, Reward & Reminder aims to reduce illegal sales of alcohol/tobacco, perceived access to alcohol/tobacco, and alcohol/tobacco use prevalence rates | Some City councils support the idea, need more information about County- level decision makers. Law enforcement supportive but also want to be involved in training. | Need to recruit and train adult supervisors and young adult 'mystery shoppers' Determine appropriate & feasible 'rewards' Unclear where to go to obtain training and access to 'web- based tracking' tools | Stipends needed for adult supervisors and youth 'mystery shoppers.' Part-time project coordinator salary needed. <\$XXXXX> | Coalition infrastructure currently supported by CPWI grant CPWI grant can pay for program expenses; not yet clear on details |
| SDS Training for After School Program Providers & Collaborative Registration & Transportatio | Train after school program providers in the SDS and skills- based recognition | Schools have already agreed to work on providing afterschool transport, within some limits. Need to work out details | Staff to manage registration Staff to do the SDS training Training for these people | Staff time – who and how much time needed? Where to get training? | |
| {Recommend ed Strategy #3) | | | | | |

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

CONSIDERING PROGRAMS AND STRATEGIES

Program/Strategy being considered:

| Pluses or Minuses | |
|--|---|
| How well do the critical components of the program/strategy fit with our community? | |
| Addresses priority risk/protective factors? | |
| Outcomes match our vision? | |
| Population in evaluation comparable to our target population? | |
| • Costs reasonable? | |
| Appropriate organization/experienced staff people available to implement program/strategy ir our community? | 1 |
| Time frame for implementation doable? | |
| Social, political, or recent public issues in our community that could affect program/strategy implementation? | |
| Positively: | |
| Negatively: | |
| People/organizations who would support implementation of this program/strategy? | |
| People/organizations who might oppose this program/strategy? | |
| NOTES | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

(Continued)

Considering Programs and Strategies, p.2

| ogram/Str | ategy being considered: |
|-----------|--|
| Inter | esting |
| What d | oes this program make us think more deeply about? |
| • Д | any interesting connections to other networks or organizations in our community? |
| • 0 | Others doing work that could support or enhance this program/strategy in some way? |
| • Д | nny additional "bang for the buck" found in this program/strategy? |
| • (| Questions we hadn't thought about before reading the program/strategy summary? |
| | NOTES |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

PROGRAM RESEARCH WORKSHEET

| Name of program: | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| Community impler | menting: | | | | |
| Contact person: Contact info: | | | | | |
| Program area: | individual/peer | family | school | community | |
| Risk/protective fac | ctors addressed: | | | | |
| Problem behavior | reduced: | | | | |
| Target audience: | | | | | |
| Outcome expecte | d: | | | | |
| By when: | | | | | |
| Type of prevention | n: universal | sel | ected | indicated | |
| Advice from site co | ontact currently or fo | rmerly implemer | nting program: | | |
| Recruitment: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Training: | | | | | |
| Staffing: | | | | | |
| Implementation: | | | | | |
| | | | | | (continued) |

Program Research Worksheet, p. 2

| Retention: | |
|------------------|--|
| | |
| | |
| Funding: | |
| | |
| Getting outcon | mes: |
| | |
| | |
| Evaluation: | |
| | |
| Facilities: | |
| | |
| | |
| Sustainability: | |
| | |
| Politics involve | rd: |
| | |
| O.H | from Community Disputing Worldshop disputations |
| Other question | ns from Community Planning Workshop discussions: |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

DECISION-MAKING MODELS PROS & CONS

| Autocracy: One leader makes all the decisions | |
|--|---|
| Pros Fast Efficient Clear | Cons Leader has full control over decisions Some needs and ideas may not be considered There may be trust issues |
| Designated Decision-Maker: One person is designated to make decision after hearing all options | |
| Pros • Faster but less so than autocratic • More people feel they have a voice • More ideas are presented • Only the decision maker has full responsibility | Cons Not all voices are equal Ideas may not be considered Decision maker can pursue ideas that may not matter to the group Group is less engaged and has less responsibility for the decision |
| Voting-based Methods: Everyone votes; the majority decides | |
| Pros Faster but less than the autocracy More voices are heard Most people are happy with the decision | Cons Perceived equality may not exist Voices of those in the minority may not be heard; ideas may be lost Those in the minority may be less engaged or may lose interest |
| Consent: A decision is made when none of the members has a reasonable objection (But all might not be in agreement) | |
| Pros Allows for reasonable objections to be heard Everyone's voice is heard Decisions are only made after there is no objection Faster and more efficient than consensus | Cons Stakeholders must trust one another to speak out Some may have to give in to others ideas A shared mission must be in place Slower than other options Requires careful facilitation |
| Consensus: Everyone accepts & supports the decision & understands the | ne reason for making it |
| Pros The good of the group is placed over individual voices Works best with shared values and goals Everyone's perspective is heard and honored Those who object can present an alternative option Collaboration is encouraged when there is potential for a group decision to be blocked | Cons Slowest form of decision making Promise of most inclusive decision Potential for blocking power Can end up in compromise or even a staredown rather than consensus |

The Center for Communities That Care

Can generate high emotions Requires careful facilitation

group decision to be blocked

Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

EXAMPLE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS WITH IDEAS FOR EACH STEP

- 0. Advance work so group feels safe, informed and ready to find a mutually beneficial decision. E.g.:
 - ✓ Creative re-frame!
 - ✓ Race equity questions; implicit bias training
 - ✓ Reinforce ground rules
 - ✓ High quality relationships, individually, w members
 - ✓ Etc.

1. Get all ideas out

What are some activities to do this in an inclusive way? e.g.:

- ✓ See "Participation Formats" section of Facilitator's Guide to Participatory Decision-Making
- ✓ Individual writing, listing ideas, 'fishbowl', etc....
- ✓ Round robin
- ✓ Stickies & sort
- ✓ Small group work (lots of ways to do this)
- ✓ Think-Pair-Share

2. Allow adequate processing time

What are some ideas on how to build this into the decision-making process? E.g.:

- √ do gallery tour at beginning of session, allow time for small group conversations, break and come back later for decisions
- ✓ send info in advance so folks can process

3. Take the temperature before moving to decision

What are some methods for this? E.g.:

- √ Thumbs
- √ 5 fingers
- ✓ Solo cups
- ✓ Poll everywhere
- ✓ Value line

4. Discuss concerns/ideas raised in temperature check activity

What are facilitator tools for good discussion here?

 \checkmark Again, use formats that allow for different learning styles, etc. See #1 above

5. Decide!

What are some methods for making the decision? E.g.:

- ✓ Vote (many ways to structure this)
- ✓ Call for consensus
- ✓ Secret ballot



Crafting a Community-Owned Strategic Plan

adapted from Communities that Care Materials

SELF-REFLECTION GUIDE



What stood out about this training?



What are you thinking about concerning what you experienced today?



Heart

Head

How are you feeling about it from the perspective of the coalition you work with?



Feet

What specific action steps will you take to bring your learnings from today back to your coalition?