# County Community Risk Profile (2018): Grays Harbor

| | | Risk Ranking | | Risk Category Rank | | Contextual Indicators | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| School District | Population: 10-17 | ATOD Risk Percentile | Indicators with Data | Consumption (ATOD) | Consequence | Economic Deprivation | Troubled Family |
| Aberdeen | 2,028 | 93 | 21 | High | Very High | Very High | Very High |
| North Beach | 517 | 92 | 20 | High | Very High | Average | High |
| Hoquiam | 1,068 | 76 | 21 | Average | High | Very High | High |
| Elma | 958 | 63 | 21 | Average | Average | High | Average |
| Ocosta | 425 | 47 | 21 | Average | Average | High | Very High |
| Montesano | 763 | 25 | 21 | Low | Average | Average | Average |
| Taholah | 142 | . | 4 | No Data | No Data | Average | Very Low |
| Quinault | 136 | . | 4 | No Data | No Data | Average | Average |
| Wishkah Valley | 78 | . | 4 | No Data | No Data | Average | No Data |
| Oakville | 218 | . | 4 | No Data | No Data | High | High |

## NOTES:

**This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2018. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.**

The ATOD consumption risk score is calculated from prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators. The overall risk ranking is not computed if either consumption or consequence score is missing.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.
A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.
A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.
A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.
A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

**Review Considerations**

1) To get an overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the "Risk Percentile". It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.
2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the "Indicators with data" column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.
3) To consider other contextual information, examine the "Population: Age 0-17", "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator. Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.

# Marijuana Composite Ranking

by School District, Grays Harbor County, 2018




**Composite Risk Ranking**

- Very High 90 - 99
- High 75 - 89
- Average 25 - 74
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 1 - 9
- No data
- School Districts
- Counties
- Highways and Major Roads
- Water Bodies

**DATA NOTES:** The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

**SOURCE:** DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

Washington State Department of Social & Health Services

*Transforming lives*

*March 20, 2018*

# Marijuana Consumption Ranking

by School District, Grays Harbor County, 2018




**Consumption Risk Ranking**

- Very High 90 - 99
- High 75 - 89
- Average 25 - 74
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 1 - 9
- No data
- School Districts
- Counties
- Highways and Major Roads
- Water Bodies

**DATA NOTES:** The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in marijuana consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

**SOURCE:** DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

Washington State Department of Social & Health Services

*Transforming lives*

*March 20, 2018*

# Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Composite Ranking

by School District, Grays Harbor County, 2018




**Composite Risk Ranking**

- Very High 90 - 99
- High 75 - 89
- Average 25 - 74
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 1 - 9
- No data
- School Districts
- Counties
- Highways and Major Roads
- Water Bodies

**DATA NOTES:** The percentile of the composite risk scores. The composite risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption and consequence. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
**SOURCE:** DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

Washington State Department of Social & Health Services

*Transforming lives*

*March 20, 2018*

# Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drugs Consumption Ranking

by School District, Grays Harbor County, 2018




**Consumption Risk Ranking**

- Very High 90 - 99
- High 76 - 89
- Average 25 - 75
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 1 - 9
- No data
- School Districts
- Counties
- Highways and Major Roads
- Water Bodies

**DATA NOTES:** The percentile of the consumption risk scores. The consumption risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in the alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD) consumption. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.

**SOURCE:** DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

Washington State Department of Social & Health Services

*Transforming lives*

*March 20, 2018*

# Consequence Risk Ranking

by School District, Grays Harbor County, 2018




**Consequence Risk Ranking**

- Very High 90 - 99
- High 75 - 89
- Average 25 - 74
- Low 10 - 24
- Very Low 1 - 9
- No data
- School Districts
- Counties
- Highways and Major Roads
- Water Bodies

**DATA NOTES:** The percentile of the consequence risk scores. The consequence risk scores were calculated using standardized indicators in three sub-domains: school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health. Cartography: Irina Sharkova.
**SOURCE:** DSHS Research and Data Analysis, Community Outcome and Risk Evaluation Information System (CORE).

Washington State Department of Social & Health Services

*Transforming lives*

*March 20, 2018*