County Community Risk Profile (2015):   Whitman

School District	Population: Age 10-17	Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank		Contextual Indicators	
		Risk Percentile	Risk Indicator with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Tekoa	135	33	10	Average	Low	Average	High
Colfax	562	5	21	Very Low	Low	Average	Average
Pullman	2,110	2	21	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Low
Palouse	235	0	10	Very Low	Very Low	Low	Low
Lacrosse	99	.	1	No Data	No Data	Low	Average
Garfield	101	.	1	No Data	No Data	Average	Very High
Colton	202	.	1	No Data	No Data	Very Low	Average
Rosalia	175	.	1	No Data	No Data	Average	Very High
St John	161	.	1	No Data	No Data	Average	Average
Oakesdale	150	.	1	No Data	No Data	No Data	High
	.	.	.				
	.	.	.				
	.	.	.				
	.	.	.				
	.	.	.				
	.	.	.				

NOTE:

This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2015. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

The ATOD consumption risk score is calculated from prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use. The consequence risk score is calculated from

school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators. The overall risk ranking is not computed if either consumption or sonsequence score is missing.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

Review Considerations

1) To get a overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the 'Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.

2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the 'Indicators with data' column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.

3) To consider other contextual information, examine the 'Population: Age 0-17', "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator.   Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.