

Risk Profile for School Districts in ADAMS County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Lind S.D.	282	28	92	Very High	High	Very High		High	Very High	
Benge S.D.	15	3	78	Very High		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Ritzville S.D.	392	29	71		High	Very High	Very High	High		
Othello S.D.	4,928	29	59	Very High						Very High
Washtucna S.D.	66	28	53		High	Very High				

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk Score LOWER, than the referenced School District.
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 29 indicators were used.

Risk Profile for School Districts in CHELAN County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Entiat S.D.	664	28	62	High	High	Very High				
Lake Chelan S.D.	1,967	28	53			High	Very High			
Wenatchee S.D.	10,594	29	43							High
Cascade S.D.	2,393	28	35			High		High		
Manson S.D.	1,136	28	35		High	High				
Cashmere S.D.	1,980	28	32			High				

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Stehekin S.D.	24	8	0			No Data	No Data			

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in CLARK County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Green Mountain S.D.	196	4	48	High		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data
Vancouver S.D.	35,554	28	38		High					Very High
La Center S.D.	2,476	28	29		High					
Washougal S.D.	4,996	29	22							
Hockinson S.D.	2,352	28	14							

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Battle Ground S.D.	19,334	28	0							
Camas S.D.	7,494	29	0							
Evergreen (Clark) S.D.	38,896	28	0							
Ridgefield S.D.	3,385	28	0							

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in FRANKLIN County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Pasco S.D.	20,460	29	89	Very High	Very High					Very High
North Franklin S.D.	2,825	29	64	Very High				Very High		High
Kahlotus S.D.	104	7	59	Very High		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Star S.D.	25	2	0			No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in JEFFERSON County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Queets-Clearwater S.D.	104	6	88	Very High		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	Very High
Quilcene S.D.	332	28	84	High	High	Very High	High	Very High		
Brinnon S.D.	165	8	78	High		No Data	No Data		Very High	
Chimacum S.D.	1,828	29	58		High				Very High	
Port Townsend S.D.	2,502	29	38						Very High	

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in KING County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
South Central-Tukwila S.D.	3,945	26	92	Very High	Very High			High	No Data	Very High
Skykomish S.D.	118	9	67			No Data	No Data		Very High	
Highline S.D.	28,254	29	53	High	Very High					High
Auburn S.D.	19,429	29	43						Very High	High
Vashon Island S.D.	2,332	28	32							

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Renton S.D.	22,786	28	26		High					
Enumclaw S.D.	7,317	29	23		High					
Seattle S.D.	85,294	29	18		High					
Snoqualmie Valley S.D.	11,266	28	14							
Bellevue S.D.	22,012	29	0							
Federal Way S.D.	33,415	10	0				No Data			
Issaquah S.D.	24,317	28	0							
Kent S.D.	41,559	28	0							
Lake Washington S.D.	39,228	29	0							
Mercer Island S.D.	5,123	29	0							
Northshore S.D.	30,816	28	0							
Riverview S.D.	5,739	29	0							
Shoreline S.D.	13,508	29	0							
Tahoma S.D.	10,561	28	0							

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in OKANOGAN County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Okanogan S.D.	1,376	28	89		Very High	High			Very High	Very High
Oroville S.D.	1,109	28	88	High			High		Very High	Very High
Omak S.D.	2,650	29	84	High		High	High	Very High	Very High	High
Brewster S.D.	1,495	29	78	Very High	Very High		High	High		High
Nespelem S.D.	428	6	78	Very High	High	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	
Tonasket S.D.	1,550	28	67					Very High	Very High	High

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Methow Valley S.D.	957	28	53	High			Very High			
Pateros S.D.	439	28	38		High	High				

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in PACIFIC County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Raymond S.D.	885	13	95				Very High	High	Very High	Very High
South Bend S.D.	640	29	86			Very High	High		Very High	Very High
Willapa Valley S.D.	478	26	70			High		Very High	No Data	Very High
Ocean Beach S.D.	1,597	29	67	High					Very High	High
North River S.D.	60	5	48	High		No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Naselle-Grays River Valley		28	32		High					High

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in PIERCE County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Franklin Pierce S.D.	11,795	26	84		High	Very High			No Data	Very High
Clover Park S.D.	21,546	29	76		High	High		Very High	Very High	High
Tacoma S.D.	50,790	29	67		High				Very High	Very High
Carbonado S.D.	299	17	46					Very High		No Data
Bethel S.D.	29,369	28	38	High	High					
Orting S.D.	3,880	28	38				High			

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
University Place S.D.	6,762	29	23							
White River S.D.	7,310	28	22		High					
Eatonville S.D.	3,182	28	18							
Sumner S.D.	11,814	29	18		High					
Fife S.D.	4,516	29	14							
Peninsula S.D.	15,119	28	14							
Puyallup S.D.	29,909	29	14		High					
Dieringer S.D.	3,314	17	0						No Data	
Steilacoom Historical S.D.	4,442	18	0							

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in SNOHOMISH County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Darrington S.D.	836	25	90	Very High		Very High	High	Very High	Very High	
Index S.D.	81	6	78	High	Very High	No Data	No Data	No Data	No Data	
Marysville S.D.	18,912	29	59		High				Very High	
Sultan S.D.	3,785	28	42		High	High				
Arlington S.D.	8,446	28	38		High			Very High		

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Edmonds S.D.	34,671	29	18							
Granite Falls S.D.	3,898	28	18							
Lakewood S.D.	3,616	26	18						No Data	
Monroe S.D.	9,801	28	18							
Stanwood-Camano S.D.	7,802	28	18		High					
Everett S.D.	30,609	29	14							
Lake Stevens S.D.	11,115	28	0							
Mukilteo S.D.	23,112	28	0							
Snohomish S.D.	14,866	28	0							

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in THURSTON County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Griffin S.D.	1,326	17	48			Very High			No Data	
Rochester S.D.	3,780	28	46			High	High	High		
Tenino S.D.	2,380	28	43		High	High				
Rainier S.D.	1,347	28	38					Very High		
Yelm S.D.	7,988	25	29		High					

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
North Thurston S.D.	21,435	29	14							
Tumwater S.D.	8,874	29	14							
Olympia S.D.	12,443	29	0							

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in WHATCOM County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Mount Baker S.D.	3,814	28	64		High	High			Very High	
Ferndale S.D.	8,079	29	32						Very High	
Blaine S.D.	3,287	25	26							
Bellingham S.D.	16,778	29	23							
Meridian S.D.	2,501	26	23						No Data	

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Nooksack Valley S.D.	3,018	28	22							
Lynden S.D.	5,015	29	0							

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with

Risk Profile for School Districts in YAKIMA County

Highest Risk Scores

				Risk Category Rank						
District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Mount Adams S.D.	1,702	28	99	Very High	Very High			Very High	Very High	Very High
Wapato S.D.	4,986	28	98	Very High	Very High	High	Very High	High	Very High	Very High
Yakima S.D.	20,388	29	98	Very High	Very High		High	Very High	Very High	Very High
Union Gap S.D.	823	18	97			Very High	Very High	Very High	No Data	Very High
Toppenish S.D.	5,455	29	96	Very High	Very High	Very High	High	High		Very High
Grandview S.D.	4,875	29	94	Very High	Very High	High				Very High
Granger S.D.	2,301	29	93	Very High	Very High		High			Very High
Sunnyside S.D.	8,670	29	91	Very High	Very High					Very High
Mabton S.D.	1,444	29	90	Very High	High		Very High	Very High		Very High

Other School Districts

District	Population: Age 0-17	Indicators with data	Composite Risk Percentile	Early Academic Failure	Academic Failure	Crime	Prevalence - Alcohol or Drug Use	Mental Health	Troubled Families	Economic Deprivation
Highland S.D.	1,781	28	46	High		High				
East Valley (Yakima) S.D.	3,581	28	43		High				Very High	
Naches Valley S.D.	1,846	26	38			High			No Data	
Selah S.D.	4,804	28	38		High	High				
Zillah S.D.	1,365	29	35		High					High
West Valley (Yakima) S.D.	6,233	28	18							

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk category

A Risk Category Rank of "VeryHigh" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk category

Review considerations:

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity across all categories, examine the 'Composite Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Composite Risk
- 2) To get a sense of whether the community is appropriately sized (not too big, not too little), examine the 'Population: Age 0-17.'
- 3) To ensure that the composite is meaningful, examine then 'Indicators with data' column. Composite scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with