

County Community Risk Profile (2015): King

School District	Population: Age 10-17	Risk Ranking		Risk Category Rank		Contextual Indicators	
		Risk Percentile	Risk Indicator with Data	Consumption (ATOD)	Consequence	Economic Deprivation	Troubled Family
Highline	12,525	67	21	Average	Average	High	Average
South Central-Tukwila	1,795	64	21	Average	High	Very High	No Data
Auburn	10,224	58	21	Average	Average	High	Average
Enumclaw	3,070	46	21	Average	Average	Low	Low
Federal Way	15,602	44	13	Average	Average	High	Average
Snoqualmie Valley	4,362	43	21	Average	Average	Very Low	Very Low
Renton	10,786	41	21	Average	Average	Average	Average
Kent	19,391	36	21	Average	Average	Average	Average
Seattle	36,281	28	21	Average	Average	Average	Average
Shoreline	6,138	11	21	Low	Very Low	Low	Low
Riverview	2,438	10	21	Very Low	Low	Very Low	Very Low
Mercer Island	2,949	8	21	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Tahoma	5,273	8	21	Very Low	Low	Very Low	Low
Bellevue	12,139	7	21	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Northshore	14,024	7	21	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Vashon Island	1,150	4	21	Low	Very Low	Very Low	Low
Lake Washington	17,485	4	21	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Issaquah	12,603	1	21	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low
Skykomish	33	.	1	No Data	No Data	Low	High

County Community Risk Profile (2015): King

NOTE:

This risk profile reflects the risk levels of this county as of February 2015. School districts with no high schools are not included in this summary. Please note risk levels and risk rankings may change over time.

The ATOD consumption risk score is calculated from prevalence of alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use. The consequence risk score is calculated from school performance, youth delinquency, and mental health indicators. The overall risk ranking is not computed if either consumption or consequence score is missing.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "High" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the top 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Average" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was between 25% and 75% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 25% of School Districts in the risk Category.

A Risk Category Rank of "Very Low" indicates the referenced School District Risk Score was in the bottom 10% of School Districts in the risk Category.

Review Considerations

- 1) To get a overall sense of risk severity for both consumption and consequence, examine the 'Risk Percentile'. It reflects what % of School District had a Risk Score LOWER than the referenced School District.
- 2) To ensure that the risk score is meaningful, examine the 'Indicators with data' column. Risk scores based on few indicators should be interpreted with caution. In total, 26 indicators were used.
- 3) To consider other contextual information, examine the 'Population: Age 0-17', "economic deprivation" indicator, and the "troubled family" indicator. Note the "Population 0-17 year olds" value will be greater than district enrollment as it accounts for kids not in school as well as those in private schools.