

# Community-based Mental Health Promotion and Suicide Prevention Services Funding Opportunity

December 12, 2012

Informational Webinar

Division of Behavioral Health & Recovery

Office of Behavioral Health & Prevention



*Transforming lives*

# Agenda

---

- **Introductions**
- **Overview of Funding Opportunity**
- **Questions/Answers**

# Overview

---

- **DBHR has partnered with DOH to bring this funding opportunity to communities**
- **Goal is to serve high-need communities in Washington State to provide quality and culturally competent replications of evidence-based, research-based and promising mental health promotion and suicide prevention programs.**

## Funding Details

---

- **Total funding available = at least \$200,000**
- **An agency can submit one application of up to \$20,000 to serve one or multiple communities**

# Funding Requirements

---

- **Must conduct Youth Mental Health First Aid (no more than \$5,000) (<https://www.mentalhealthfirstaid.org/>)**
- **Must conduct at least three community awareness raising activities**
- **Cap of 20% can be used to support staff time (not program delivery) to support planning and implementation**
- **Admin is capped at 8% of the total award**

# Scope of Work

---

- **Must choose one option from page 6 of the RFA (Either MH Promotion OR Suicide Prevention).**
- **Required reporting into the “Substance Use Disorder Prevention and Mental Health Promotion Reporting System” (Minerva) monthly by the 15<sup>th</sup>**
- **Participate in bimonthly DBHR learning community meetings**
- **Participate in check-in calls with DBHR contract manager or designee as needed**

# Submission of Application

---

- **All materials due Monday, January 8<sup>th</sup> by 5:00 P.M. Pacific Time to [Prevention@dshs.wa.gov](mailto:Prevention@dshs.wa.gov) with the subject line as follows**
  - RFA #18/19-006 - MHPSP Grant Application – [Community or CBO Name]
- **A short submittal letter along with Forms A, B, C, D, and E and any letters of support (when applicable) are due via email to the RFA coordinator.**
- **The provided forms must be used. Do not modify or recreate any of the forms.**
- **Double check all calculations within the budget sheet prior to submission.**
- **If you have any questions or issues with the forms, contact the RFA coordinator listed on the cover page of the application**

# Application

---

**Application should include the following order:**

- 1. Form A: Application Face Page**
- 2. Form B: Project Narrative**
- 3. Form C: Contractor Intake Form**
- 4. Form D: Action Plan**
- 5. Form E: Budget**
- 6. Letters of Support (if applicable)**



# Scoring Criteria

---

- Total points are only a portion of the selection process

## **52 total points are available are as follows:**

- **Project narrative** = 44 points available
  - 4 points per question as outlined in Form A
- **Action Plan** = 4 points available
- **Budget** = 4 points available
- Final determination of selected grantees is dependent on application scores, state distribution of geographic location and community population size. Additionally, it is the intent of DBHR to ensure that 60% of funded programs (not counting Youth Mental Health First Aid and community awareness activity requirements) will be allocated for EBP/RBP/PP. Inversely, only 40% of programs (not counting Youth Mental Health First Aid and community awareness activity requirements) across all funded communities will be awarded for innovative programs.

# Scoring Criteria

**0 points - Unacceptable:** The applicant organization does not explicitly address the narrative question. The applicant organization states the question, but does not elaborate on the response. The applicant organization skips or otherwise ignores the question or includes irrelevant information that does not answer the question. As a result, the answer is completely deficient in addressing the narrative question.

**1 Point - Marginal:** The applicant organization provides minimal details and insufficient descriptions that do not completely answer the narrative question. Limited information is presented or the applicant merely repeats back information included in the RFA. The applicant may answer part of the narrative question but miss a key point or there are major gaps in the information presented. Application has some strengths but includes identified weaknesses that will likely impact the successful implementation of proposed project.

**2 Points - Acceptable:** The applicant organization provides a basic response to the narrative question but does not include sufficient detail or supporting documentation. There are some gaps and/or lack of clarity in describing how the proposal will be implemented. Identified weaknesses will likely have some impact on the successful implementation of proposed project.

**3 Points - Very Good:** The applicant organization provides substantive descriptions and relevant details in addressing the narrative question but the response is not fully comprehensive. Any identified weaknesses will likely have minor impact on the successful implementation of proposed project.

**4 Points - Excellent:** The applicant organization provides substantive descriptions and relevant details in addressing the narrative question. A sound understanding of the topic is demonstrated and includes pertinent examples. All criteria are fully addressed without identified weaknesses.

# Questions

---

- Questions may be submitted up until December 14<sup>th</sup> 2017 at 5:00 P.M. Pacific Time
- Questions and answers will be regularly updated and posted at <http://www.theathenaforum.org/grants>

# Application Forms

---

- <http://theathenaforum.org/grants>

**QUESTIONS?**